1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	BELINDA F. NORWOOD,) Case No. 2:17-cv-00483-MMD-NJK
11	Plaintiff(s),))
12		NEPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	MEDSOURCE MANAGEMENT GROUP, LLC,))
14	Defendant(s).))
15		~
16	On February 17, 2017, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint as required by 28 U.S.C.	
17	§ 1915. Docket No. 3. The Court found Plaintiff's complaint deficient in that Plaintiff failed to	
18	show that she had exhausted her administrative remedies and failed to establish a <i>prima facie</i> case	
19	for any of her claims. <i>Id.</i> at 2-4. The Court ordered that, to the extent Plaintiff believed she could	
20	cure the identified defects, she must file an amended complaint by March 20, 2017. <i>Id.</i> at 4. The	
21	Court warned that failure to comply with the order would result in the recommended dismissal of	
22	this case, without prejudice. To date, Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint. See Docket.	
23	Accordingly, the undersigned RECOMMENDS that the Court dismiss this case without	
24	prejudice.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: June 23, 2017.	
27	NANCY J. KOPPE	
28	United States Magistrate Judge	
		•

NOTICE

Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2, any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be
in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen (14) days. The Supreme Court has
held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file
objections within the specified time. <i>Thomas v. Arn</i> , 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also
held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and
brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal
factual issues from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir.
1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).